



FIT Farm Innovation Team GmbH Webereistr. 1 A 48565 Steinfurt Germany

Brøndby, 30 October 2020 120-32122 rev 1 Page 1 of 6 1 enclosure

# **Test Report**

# FIT Farm Innovation Team GmbH FIT F248

The report is only valid with two digital signatures from FORCE Technology. The original version of the report is archived in FORCE Technology's database and is sent in electronic duplicate to the customer. The stored version of the report at FORCE Technology prevails as documentation for its contents and validity.

Extracts from the Report may only be reproduced with a written permission from FORCE Technology. The test results only relate to the items tested.



Page: 2 of 6 Date: 10/30/20



# **Test report**

**Object:** Test of mask FIT F248

**Samples received:** 14 September 2020

**Sampling by:** FIT Farm Innovation Team GmbH

**Method:** EN 149:2001 + A1:2009

**Test date:** 14.09.2020-25.09.2020

**Revision:** Revision made to change Paraffin oil results and conclusion. Due to the large variation in the

results is was agreed to do a retest of the masks with 3 different masks from the same shipment. These results make the masks comply with the requirements for FFP2 from EN

149:2001+A1:2009.

#### **Results:**

All results must meet the requirements stated in EN 149:2001 + A1:2009. All tests were performed accordance to EN 149:2001+A1:2009.

#### Abbreviations:

(AR)= As received, (TC)= Temperature conditioned, (SW)= Simulated wearing treatment, (MS)= mechanical strength

#### § 8.2 Visual inspection

The mask was of uniform white material and without valve. The mask had white straps that fit around the ears and foam under its nose clip.

#### § 8.4 Practical performance

	Comments (1)	Pass/fail	Comments (2)	Pass/fail
a) Head harness comfort	Ok straps	Р	Straps are comfortable	Р
b) Security of fastenings	Not easy to adjust	Р	Straps needed adjust- ment	Р
c) Field of vision	Ok	Р	Ok	Р
d) Maintenance of face seal	Ok	Р	Ok	Р
e) Other comments	The foam on the nose clip is comfortable	Р	Comfortable to breathe through	Р

Table 1: Results from practical performance

Page: 3 of 6 Date: 10/30/20



## § 8.5 Total Inward Leakage

Total Inward Leakage	46 of 50 results (%)	8 of 10 individual means (%)
FFP1	25	22
FFP2	11	8
FFP3	5	2

Table 2: Requirements for Total Inward Leakage in accordance to EN 149:2001 + A1:2009

Total In- ward Leak- age	1(AR)	2(AR)	3(AR)	4(AR)	5(AR)	6(TC)	7(TC)	8(TC)	9(TC)	10 (TC)	11 (TC)	Class/fail
Leak- age (%)	0,62 0,78 0,79 0,86 0,84	14,95 13,89 9,37 4,39 4,57	1,47 1,41 1,41 1,70 1,60	3,62 3,55 2,45 2,38 1,87	0,98 1,03 0,88 1,24 0,98	6,87 8,75 9,41 8,47 8,92	2,40 4,70 6,40 4,23 2,82	3,84 3,04 5,41 3,68 4,02	1,93 2,37 3,34 2,14 1,52	3,11 3,04 3,07 5,30 3,01	0,24 0,28 1,55 0,75 0,39	FFP2
Indi- vidual mean leak- age (%)	0,78	9,44	1,52	2,77	1,02	8,48	4,11	4,00	2,26	3,51	0,64	FFP2

Table 2.1: Results for Total Inward Leakage and individual mean

Results from one test subjects were excluded as they could not be satisfactorily fitted with the mask. This subject was replaced by two new test subjects. Therefore, this report contains results from eleven test subjects.

#### § 8.6 Flammability

Flammability	Pass/fail
Mask (AR)	Р
Mask (TC)	Р

Table 3: Results for flammability

#### § 8.7 Carbon dioxide content of the inhalation air

Carbon dioxide content of the inhalation air	Measurement (%)	Pass/fail
Requirements (mbar)	≤1	
	0,45	
FIT F248 (AR)	0,43	Р
	0,42	

Table 4: Results from carbon dioxide content of the inhalation air

Page: 4 of 6 Date: 10/30/20



# § 8.9 Breathing Resistance

	Maximum permitted resistance (mbar)				
Classification	Inha	Exhalation			
	30 l/min	95 l/min	160 l/min		
FFP1	0,6	2,1	3,0		
FFP2	0,7	2,4	3,0		
FFP3	1,0	3,0	3,0		

Table 5: Requirements for Breathing Resistance

Breathing Resistance	Inhalation, 30 l/min (mbar)	Inhalation, 95 l/min (mbar)	Exhalation, 160 l/min (mbar)	Class/fail
	0,45	1,60	2,50	
FIT F248 (AR)	0,55	1,85	2,80	FFP1
	0,50	1,55	2,45	
	0,50	1,65	2,60	
FIT F248 (SW)	0,55	1,60	2,45	FFP1
	0,55	1,65	2,60	
	0,50	1,55	2,50	
M FIT F248 (TC)	0,50	1,50	2,45	FFP1
	0,50	1,50	2,45	

Table 5.1: Breathing Resistance

Page: 5 of 6 Date: 10/30/20



## § 8.11 Penetration of filter material

Classification	Maximum penetration of test aerosol			
	Sodium Chloride test 95 I/min % max	Paraffin oil test 95 l/min % max		
FFP1	20	20		
FFP2	6	6		
FFP3	1	1		

Table 6: Requirements in accordance with EN 149:2001 + A1:2009

Sample	Result (%)	Class/fail	Aerosol
	0,08		
FIT F248 (AR)	0,08	FFP3	
	0,09		
	4,08	FFP2	Sodium chloride,
FIT F248 (SW)	0,08		95 l/min
	0,07		
	0,20		
FIT F248 (MS-TC)	0,11	FFP3	
	0,12		

Table 6.1: Penetration of filter material: Initial penetration NaCl

Sample	Result (%)	Class/fail	Aerosol
	0,25		
FIT F248 (AR)	0,18	FFP3	
	0,24		
	0,25		Paraffin oil
FIT F248 (SW)	0,39	FFP3	95 l/min
	0,59		
	0,51		
FIT F248 (MS-TC)	0,65	FFP2	
,	1,02	1	

Table 6.2: Penetration of filter material: Initial penetration Paraffin oil

Sample	Result (%)	Class/fail	Aerosol
FIT F248 (MS-TC)	0,20 0,11 0,12	FFP3	Sodium chloride, 95 I/min
FIT F248 (MS-TC)	4,83 4,18 5,98	FFP2	Paraffin oil, 95 l/min

Table 6.3: Penetration of filter material: Maximum penetration during exposure

Page: 6 of 6 Date: 10/30/20



Information not part of the accredited report: this report replaces report 120-32122, Revision made to change Paraffin oil results and conclusion. Due to the large variation in the results is was agreed to do a retest of the masks with 3 different masks from the same shipment. These results make the masks comply with the requirements of FFP2 EN 149:2001 + A1:2009.

#### **Conclusion:**

The mask complies with the requirements of EN 149:2001 + A1:2009 classification FFP2 for the tests performed in this report. Please note that a retest was done for the Paraffin oil test due large variation in the results from the first test, this resulted I a change of classification from FFP1 to FFP2.

#### **Enclosure No. 1**

Task: 120-32122 Page: 1 of 2 Date: 10/30/20



## **Photos of device:**



#### **Enclosure No. 1**

Task: 120-32122 Page: 2 of 2 Date: 10/30/20



